In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Daniel C. Lewis,

Appellant,

v.

State of Alaska, Department of Corrections,

Appellees.

Supreme Court No. S-17640

Opening Notice

Appellate Rule 204

Date of Notice: 2/26/2020

Trial Court Case No. 3AN-17-09107CI

- 1. On 11/13/2019, Appellant filed an appeal of Judge Guidi's final order/judgment distributed on 10/29/2019. On 2/25/20, the Supreme Court converted this matter to an appeal under Appellate Rule 204. Attorneys whose names and addresses are correct on this notice need not file an entry of appearance. All documents filed by a party who is not represented by counsel shall include an address at which that party can be served. The caption in this case will be as shown above.
- 2. The notice of completion of preparation of file is due from the Appellate Court Records Office (ACRO) on or before 4/6/2020. The record in this appeal will include only the documents and proceedings in the trial court case referenced above. If either party believes that the record should contain documents or proceedings from any other related case, that party should file an appropriate motion.

Clerk of the Appellate Courts	
Joyce Marsh, Deputy Clerk	

cc: Judge Guidi ACRO

Distribution:

Mail:

Lewis, Daniel
Cicotte, Matthias R

Lewis v. Corrections Supreme Court No. S-17640 Opening Notice of 2/25/2020 Page 2

In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Dani	Appellant, V. iel Lewis and State of Alaska, Appellees. Court Case No. 3AN-17-09107CI	Notice/Certificate Appellate Rule 221			
NOTICE/CERTIFICATE REQUIRED BY APPELLATE RULE 221 Part 1. <u>Trial Court</u> Settlement History.					
1.	Did the parties attempt settlement at the trial court level? □ yes (answer questions 2-5) □ no (skip to Part 2)				
2.	What form(s) did the settlement discussions take? (Check all that apply) □ informal discussions □ negotiations led by private neutral (e.g., mediator) name of private neutral: □ settlement conference with judge name of judge:				
	other describe:				
3.	Who was involved in the settlement discussions? (Check all that apply) □ counsel for all parties □ all clients □ other describe:				
4.	How long did the settlement discussion	ns take?			

5.	What		e of the settlement discussions			
		*	agreement on one or more iss			
			o some parties, but not all par	ties		
		issues were nar				
		no issues or cla	ims were narrowed or resolve	d		
Par	t 2. Pr	o Se Party Invo	olvement.			
		Indicate here if no appellate settlement discussion took place because one or more parties are unrepresented by counsel and therefore the provisions of Appellate Rule 221 do not apply. If the box in this section is checked, the appellant should sign below:				
		Signature		Date		
	If the	box in this section	on is not checked, the attorney	ys must complete Part 3.		
Par	t 3. Ce	ertificate of App	pellate Settlement Discussi	ion.		
after	the fili	ing of the appeal		eys have discussed settlement le 221, and that the attorney's		
	Date		Signature			
	Date	:	Signature			
	Date		Signature Signature			

FILE THIS ORIGINAL PLUS ONE COPY WITH THE COURT.